UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,408	12/05/2003	Mark Elliott Foster	20,238	9603
	7590 03/06/200 LARK WORLDWIDI	EXAMINER		
Catherine E. Wolf 401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/729,408	FOSTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MATTHEW F. DESANTO	3763	

		WATTHEW T. BESTATIO	0,00
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REI	PLY FILED <u>28 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
apı apı for	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on plication, applicant must timely file one of the following plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ciods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have beer under 37 o set forth in may reduce	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended in the second of the	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	filed within two months of the date of
filir	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 Tr	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a)	${f f igseleq}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	ΓE below);
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		
(d) —	They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
nor	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	·	
hov The	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) v the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of
Cla	im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13-15,17-28,31 and 33-36</u> .		
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
<u>AFFIDA\</u>	<u>'IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>		
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. 🔲 TI	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-	
	ne request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	bite the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (sher:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/Matthow E DoSanta/	
		/Matthew F DeSanto/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3763

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims change the scope of Applicant's claimed invention and therefore further consideration is required as well as a new search in view of the newly added limitation.